

\\ 304 \\

Credit Channel and Industrial Firms' Market Power

by

Marco Mazzoli

April 2000

Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia
Dipartimento di Economia Politica
Via Berengario, 51
41100 Modena (Italia)
e-mail: me-ma@pt.tizeta.it

Credit channel and industrial firms' market power

Marco Mazzoli*
Department of Economics
University of Modena and Reggio Emilia
Via Berengario 51 - 27100 Modena - ITALY
Phone: (+)39 059 417833
e-mail (priv.) me-ma@pt.tizeta.it

April 12, 2000

ABSTRACT

The theoretical model presented here describes the interactions between a concentrated industrial sector and a perfectly competitive financial system where industrial firms can issue bonds or borrow from money from the banks in order to finance their investments. It is shown that an exogenous modification in the degree of concentration in the industrial sector does not only affects the equilibrium level of investments and the price of the final good, but also the transmission mechanism of the monetary policy. This paper also presents a first simplified framework to study the interactions between market power of industrial firms on the credit market and endogeneity of the composition of their external finance (in this context, bank credit and bonds). For this reason one of the main assumptions of the model is the existence of the "credit channel". The endogeneity of the composition of industrial firms' external finance also allows to formalise (although in a very simplified one-period context) a situation of simultaneity between financial and investment decisions for the firms.

* I am very grateful to Giuseppe Marotta for his helpful comments and suggestions. All mistakes are mine.

1. Introduction

With the advent of the EMU the European economies have witnessed a number of mergers and acquisitions not only in financial and local banking markets (for instance, in Italy) but also in relevant transnational industries, such as telecommunications and high technology. A first point that will be made here is that these issues should not only be studied within an industrial economic perspective but also for the multiplicity of their macroeconomic implications.

While a very extended literature on the macroeconomic implications of market concentration in the industrial sector already exist, hardly any literature exists on the macroeconomic implications of market concentration and market power in the banking and financial sectors. The problem is far from being irrelevant since there is no reason to assume that industrial firms' market power should have implications for the goods market equilibrium and not for the banking and financial market equilibria, at least to the extent that bank credit and securities are imperfect substitutes as a source of finance for industrial firms. One of the purposes of the present analysis is therefore to provide a first simplified theoretical framework to analyse this sort of institutional and structural changes which involve the behaviour of the industrial firms not only in the goods markets, but also in the banking and financial sectors. In particular, the theoretical model introduced here shows how an exogenous modification in the degree of concentration in the industrial sector affects:

a) the equilibrium level of investments and the price of the good produced by the "concentrated" industrial sector;

b) the transmission mechanism of the monetary policy with composite effects that, in spite of their complexity, may be decomposed into precise elements with a specific economic interpretation.

Another purpose of this work is to study how all the above-mentioned phenomena interact with the firms' financial structure (in terms of recourse to bank credit or bonds). The only promising approach to analyse this issue, where imperfect substitution between bank credit and securities play such an important role seems to be provided by the "credit view". One of the main assumptions of the model is therefore the existence of the "credit channel" of monetary shocks transmission¹.

For these reasons this paper is close, at least in spirit to the "credit view", even though the assumption of a (limited) substitutability between bank credit and securities for the industrial firms allows us to identify here a more general framework where the exclusive recourse to bank credit or securities constitute two extreme benchmark cases. The endogeneity in the composition of external finance for industrial firms also allows to formalise (although in simple one-period context) a situation of simultaneity

¹ In this regard we employ here a more extended definition of monetary shock than the one commonly used by Bernanke and Blinder (1988, 1992) and closer to the one used by Friedman and Kuttner (1993), who consider not only the "monetary policy shocks", but any exogenous and/or unpredictable change that may take place in the monetary sector of the economy.

between financial and investment decisions for the firms.

In times of deep institutional changes, one might also observe that the industrial firms' market power with respect to financial intermediaries constitute one of the relevant features of a financial system, although the interactions between institutional configurations of a financial system and short-run behaviour of the relevant variables have been paid little attention by the literature, with a few exceptions (see, for instance, Schmidt, 1999² and Mazzoli, 1998, ch. 1,2,3,4). In particular, Mazzoli (1998) provides a number of theoretical and empirical analyses where institutional configurations of a financial system interact with industrial firms' market power and with the transmission mechanism of monetary policy. However this is certainly a field where a precise theoretical configuration is missing, apart from the generical background provided by the "creditist" models assuming the "specialness" of bank credit.

2. Does market power matter only in the goods market or also in the credit market?

The macroeconomic implications of credit market structure have been explicitly analysed only "on the deposits side" (VanHoose, 1983, 1985), while other partial equilibrium analyses, mainly based on an industrial organisation approach (like the oligopolistic version of Monti-Klein model, presented, for instance, in Freixas e Rochet, 1997) only focus on the credit supply side and do not raise the problem of the possible macroeconomic implications of changes in the market structure. Other industrial organisation contributions focusing on the interaction between banks and industrial firms (Brander and Lewis 1985, Poitervin, 1989a, 1989b, 1990) have proposed models of duopoly on the goods market where the banks' behaviour has the only consequence of introducing possible strategic advantages of industrial firms in installing excess production capacity or, in the case of Poitervin (1989b), where the existence of a unique monopolistic bank generates pro-collusive effects in the goods markets, since an aggressive policy implemented by either of the industrial firms in the goods market would make riskier the bank assets and reduce, as a consequence, their value.

Nevertheless the implications of these classes of models have been confined to the field of industrial organisation and, despite the relevance of their findings, they have not really been employed in macroeconomic analysis, nor have their results been incorporated into macroeconomic models, specially for what concerns the possible (exogenous) modifications in the credit market structure "on the demand side", an aspect almost entirely neglected by the literature (with the isolated exception of Mazzoli, 1998, ch. 4, where the problem is dealt with in a context of partial equilibrium), even though phenomena of important mergers and acquisitions in strategic industries (such as, for instance, telecommunications) are

² I am very grateful to Giuseppe Marotta for pointing out this reference to me.

far from being irrelevant in these last years in Italy, in Europe and in the States. As we know it, recently in Italy many mergers have actually taken place in the banking sector, i.e. on the “supply side” rather than in the “demand side” of the credit market. Nevertheless, the data of the Italian Antitrust Authority (Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato, 1999) show that in the non-financial services and manufacturing sectors the overall value of the mergers has been higher than in the banking sector in 1996 and 1997, while the opposite happens only in 1998 (also due to the acceleration in the processes integration in financial and banking sectors, determined by the introduction of EURO)³. Another (more indirect) piece of evidence showing, for Italy, the relevance of concentration processes in non-banking sectors is given by the constant and significant growth of cases raised by the Italian Antitrust Authority for episodes of concentration among independent firms: 292 in 1997, 344 in 1998 and already 104 in the first quarter of 1999. These brief pieces of evidence show that if, on the one hand, the EMU has created strong incentives for mergers and acquisitions in the banking sector, a similar process of concentration is taking place on the other hand among industrial firms in important industries (such as, for instance, telecommunications)

At the same time another relevant structural phenomenon is taking place in Europe: an increase in the degree of substitutability between bank credit and securities as a source of finance for industrial firms, due to the expansion of financial markets in the EMU. And, of course, both phenomena might be explained by the incentives and scale economies determined by the EMU. While the implications of increases in the degree of concentration on the “supply side” of the bank credit market can be analysed (at least in terms of partial equilibrium) within framework of the oligopsonistic version of Monti-Klein model, there is hardly any literature on the implications of a simultaneous increase of industrial firms’ market power on the goods and credit markets: modelling this specific issue is one of the purposes of this paper. The model also contains a typical “stylized fact” of the “credit view”: the composition of the industrial firms’ external finance depends on the spread between interest rate on bank credit and bonds. It will be shown that the degree of substitutability between bank credit and securities deeply affect the way an increase in the degree of concentration in industry affects the transmission mechanism of monetary policy.

3. A “mesoeconomic” model with oligopoly on the goods market and oligopsony on the credit market

The model introduced here is meant to propose a first theoretical framework to analyse the

³ In particular, both the manufacturing and the non-financial services sectors show operations of mergers and concentration within the EU for a value higher than 80 billions of dollars in 1997 and for approximately 60 billions of dollars in 1996, and only in 1998 has the financial services sector shown operations of merger and concentration for higher values than the other two above-mentioned sectors.

macroeconomic impact of exogenous changes in the degree of concentration (possibly caused by mergers and acquisitions) in industries of relevant macroeconomic magnitude. It describes a closed economy and could be defined “mesoeconomic”, since it is constituted by macroeconomic equations and “microeconomic” conditions describing in detail a single industry of relevant size, composed by large firms enjoying market power both on the goods market and in the bank credit market. The banks are assumed to be much more numerous than the firms and compete among them under a perfectly competitive regime.

The attention is focused on the investments (which are assumed to last for one period only) of the industrial firms. For this reason, it is assumed that the wages and the level of employment are given and set at the beginning of the period under consideration, according to an efficiency wages mechanism: this could be interpreted as a *ceteris paribus* assumption, or, alternatively, as an assumption only valid in the short run.

The industrial firms’ (henceforth “firms”) investments determines the quantity and price of the final good produced by the industry. The demand for physical capital affects, on the one hand the credit and bonds markets equilibria, on the other hand the output produced by the firms⁴.

3.1 General assumptions

One of the main “ingredients” of the model must be a convenient framework allowing, on the one hand to formalise the macroeconomic effects of a change in firms’ market power in the industrial sector, and, on the other hand, to include as extreme cases both perfect competition and monopoly. The simplest and more direct way to do the trick is to assume - like in Mazzoli (1998, ch.4) - that there are n identical firms (each of them owing some of the given N production units) behaving as oligopsonists *à la Cournot* on the bank credit market. The investment k (lasting for one period only) may be financed either with bank credit (at the interest rate r_L) or by issuing bonds (at the interest rate r_B). The firms choose to finance a portion μ of investments by borrowing from the banking system and a portion $(1-\mu)$ by issuing bonds.

Since we have a constraint given by the equality between industrial investments and supply of funds by the financial sector of the economy, the optimal investment decision for the firms amounts to:

1) choosing the portion $(1-\mu)$ of investments to be financed by issuing bonds on the (competitive) bond market and the portion μ to be financed by borrowing on the (oligopsonistic) bank credit market⁵;

⁴ The amount of financial capital in this context determines the quantity of final good supplied in the goods market, although it does not really determine a «quantity precommitment» effect similar to the one described in Kreps and Scheinkmann (1983) and in Maggi (1996).

⁵ Obviously in this context it is assumed that bonds and bank credit are imperfect substitutes for the firms as a source of external finance. This is a typical assumption of the “credit view”, although in our case, by endogenizing the composition of the firms’ liabilities, the assumption of imperfect substitutability between bank credit and bonds is weakened. For a more detailed survey and analysis of the “credit view” see Mazzoli (1998, ch. 1-2).

2) choosing (for what concerns the portion of capital μ financed by bank credit) an optimal point on the bank credit supply function, determining in this way the interest rate r_L on bank credit; this obviously also contributes to determine the level of the other interest rate, r_B , since, by implicitly defining the portion of investments financed on the other market - the bonds market - this affects its competitive equilibrium.

As mentioned before, it is assumed that the number N of production units owned by each of the n firms is fixed. Each of the n firms therefore raises external finance in order to provide with capital k each of its N/n production units. In this way - by keeping the number of production units in the economy constant - a change in the degree of concentration can be conceptually isolated from any other "entry and exit" effect that might affect the scale of the economy.

In addition, as mentioned before, it is assumed that the firms are oligopolistic in the goods market and produce a final consumption good at the price p .

The money base is assumed to be only constituted by the reserves held by the banks at the central bank: this implies that there is no currency and all payments are made with banks deposit.

Assuming that the monetary policy is non anticipated, the portion of investments that the firms decide to finance by bank credit is defined as follows:

$$\mu = \arg \min [1, m r_L^{-\lambda} r_B^{\lambda}]. \quad (1)$$

where $0 < \mu \leq 1$, $m > 0$ is a generic positive parameter. In the open interval $(0,1)$, μ is a constant elasticity function (with elasticity equal to λ) with respect to r_L and r_B . In case we have $m r_L^{-\lambda} r_B^{\lambda} > 1$ the elasticity of μ with respect to r_L will not be $-\lambda$, but will be null⁶. For this reason we will define in a more general way the elasticity of μ with respect to r_L as $\varepsilon_{\mu,L}$. This definition allows us to discriminate

⁶The analytical form of (1) is not particularly restrictive, since it could be interpreted as a case of "unanticipated" monetary policy in the following more general form:

$$\mu = \arg \min \{1, m r_L^{-\lambda} r_B^{\lambda} [1 + E^*(\Delta BM)]^{\tau}\},$$

where $0 < m < 1$,

with

$$E^*(\Delta BM) = \int E(\Delta BM) dF[E(\Delta BM)] = 0$$

and $E^*(\Delta BM) = 0$ (i.e. *unanticipated monetary policy*) ossia *politica monetaria non anticipata*). $E^*(\Delta BM)$ is the private sector expectation concerning the monetary policy intervention (defined as change in the money base) $F[E(\Delta BM)]$ is the probability distribution function of the expectations with respect to $E(\Delta BM)$, τ is a positive parameter describing the elasticity of the expectations with respect to their monetary intervention $E^*(\Delta BM)$.

between the case where there is substitutability between the two sources of finance for the firms ($\varepsilon_{\mu,L}$ constant and equal to $-\lambda$) and the extreme case where the bank credit is so “special” that the elasticity $\varepsilon_{\mu,L}$ becomes null, although in the model the difference between the two cases is triggered by particular values assumed by the spread ($r_L - r_B$) and not according to prior assumptions on the intrinsic nature of bank credit.

Having endogenized the composition of the firms’ liabilities implies that effect of the firms’ market power on the credit market depends on the value assumed by μ , and, of course, on the spread between the two interest rates (a crucial element of the *credit view*, at least in Bernanke and Blinder, s (1988) definition). This happens because the monetary policy, by having - in general - a different impact on the two interest rates r_L and r_B , may induce the firms to raise more capital on the market which turns out to be (in case of restrictive monetary policy) less affected by the monetary shocks or (in case of expansionary monetary policy) more affected by the monetary shocks.

The optimisation problem of the representative firm is the following:

$$\max \pi = (N/n) \{py - w^*l^* - (1 + r_L)\mu(r_L, r_B)k - (1 + r_B)[1 - \mu(r_L, r_B)]k\} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mu(r_L, r_B)[(N/n)k + K'] = S(r_L, r_B, BM) \quad (3)$$

and

$$p = L(pY)^\psi r_B^{-\gamma} Y^{-\beta}, \quad \psi, \gamma, \beta > 0, Y = Ny. \quad (4)$$

where π are the firm’s profits, y the output produced by each production unit, w^* the wages and l^* the labour employed (both fixed in the short run), k the investment for each production unit, $S(\cdot)$ is the bank credit supply function⁷ (assumed to be a constant elasticity function with respect to r_L and r_B), BM the money base (which - having assumed in our case that there is no currency - is equal to the private banks’ outstanding reserves, figuring - in the central bank balance constraint - as a counterpart for the bonds held by the central bank), K' the investments made by all the other production units owned by all the other firms; equation (4) is the inverse demand function (assumed for simplicity to be a constant elasticity function with respect to the nominal output pY and the interest rate r_B) for the final consumption good produced by the industry under consideration, where ψ, γ, β are three generical positive parameters. In

⁷ Equation (3) may be interpreted as a special case of the function $S(r_L, r_B, BM, E^*(\Delta BM))$, again under the assumption $E^*(\Delta BM) = 0$, i.e. unanticipated monetary policy.

particular L is a function (assumed to be homogeneous in pY) that “capture” the causal link existing between the determination of the industry output pY and that part of the households’ disposable income spent on the industry final consumption good⁸.

This means that the higher the macroeconomic relevance of the industry under consideration, the higher will be the value $\partial L(\cdot)/\partial Y$. In other words, the industry output affect its demand in two opposite senses: on the one hand (through the term $Y^{-\beta}$) it reflects the usual negative relation between the price and the demanded quantity of the good, on the other hand (through the term $L(pY)^\psi$) it positively affects the demand for the good. Since this is a partial equilibrium model, and since the industry output only affects the households disposable income to the extent that our industry is relevant on a macroeconomic point of view, we assume that in (4) the main impact of Y on p be negative.

Constraint (3) explains that the (symmetrical) firms only finance a portion μ of their investments by borrowing from the banking system⁹.

Having assumed that the firms behave as *Cournot* oligopolists on the goods market and *Cournot* oligopsonists on the credit market, and having assumed that the S.O.C. are satisfied, the F.O.C. are the following:

$$p(\partial y/\partial k)[1 + 1/n\epsilon_{DP}] = 1 + \mu r_L + (1-\mu) r_B + \{\mu[r_L - \epsilon_{\mu,L}(r_L - r_B)]\} / \{n(\epsilon_{SL} - \epsilon_{\mu,L})\}, \quad (5)$$

where ϵ_{DP} is the demand price elasticity of the final good, ϵ_{SL} is the bank credit supply elasticity with respect to r_L and $\epsilon_{\mu,L}$ is the elasticity of μ with respect to r_L . This means that we have three potential sources of rigidity in the model, and two of them in the credit market. In particular, in the credit market, the presence of rigidity in the credit supply (captured by a low value of ϵ_{SL}) is mitigated by $\epsilon_{\mu,L}$, which (being negative and having a negative sign) contributes to increase the value of the denominator in the last

⁸ The presence of $L(\cdot)$ in the demand function derives from the fact that this is a “one-period” model. In a hypothetical dynamic extension of the model one could introduce a time lag between the moment when the industry output is produced and the moment when the households actually spend that part of their disposable income (generated directly or indirectly by the industry output) on the industry final consumption good.

⁹ Reminding that (3) may be assumed to be a special case with $E^*(\Delta BM)=0$ of the more general case of equilibrium between the function $S(r_L, r_B, BM, E^*(\Delta BM))$ and the investments financed with bank credit, even when $E^*(\Delta BM)=0$, the probability attributed by the banking system to the case where $E(\Delta BM)\neq 0$ will not be null. In other words, talking about expectations formulated by the banking system, we could say that, given that we have a large number of banks, at least one bank will attach a non-zero probability to the event $E(\Delta BM)>0$ and at least one bank will attach a non-zero probability to the opposite event. Introducing an explicit formalization of uncertainty in the behaviour function of the banking system would not have practical consequences for the analytical form of the model, since, by assuming an unanticipated monetary policy we would have $E^*(\Delta BM)=0$. In this way one would explicitly show that the aggregate banking system is diversifying its portfolio, and therefore one would justify the existence of a positive (although very small) credit supply even when the spread between r_L and r_B is negative. However all that would significantly complicate the formal notation of the model

addend on the right-hand side of (5). In the extreme case where the firm only finance its investments with bonds (i.e. μ tends to zero), the only potential source of rigidity in the economy would be on the goods market. In this context, μ determines the extent of the firms' oligopolistic power on the financial sector of the economy and, by increasing or reducing its value according to the possible changes in the interest rates spread, it contributes to increase or reduce the degree of rigidity in the financial sector of the economy¹⁰.

We can re-write equation (5) as an implicit function:

$$f_1(p, k, r_L, r_B, n) = 0. \quad (6)$$

The rest of the model is composed by the following equations

- Equilibrium on the market for bank credit to the firms:

$$\mu(r_L, r_B)Nk - S(r_L, r_B, BM) = f_2(r_L, r_B, k, BM) = 0. \quad (7)$$

- Equilibrium on the bonds market:

$$B^b(r_B, r_L) + B^H(r_B, pY) + L^{b-H}(r_B, r_L) + BM - BT - (1 - \mu(r_L, r_B))Nk = f_3(p, k, r_L, r_B, BM) = 0. \quad (8)$$

We assume, for simplicity, that the interest rate on deposits is null and the households are also the owners of the banking system¹¹. B^b and B^H represent the demand for bonds by the banks and households respectively, BT the (given) amount of public debt, L^{b-H} is an excess demand function of households' liabilities with banks. Even in this case $B^H(\cdot)$ is affected by the industry output, through the impact that the latter has in the determination of the households' disposable income, which, in its turn, can be invested in bonds¹². L^{b-H} is defined according to the following assumptions: since we admit that banks lend money to the households, we assume that the sector of bank credit to the households be perfectly competitive and that its interest rate be defined as $r_H = r_B + h$, where h is a constant¹³. Introducing this assumption amounts to aggregating the bonds market and the market for bank credit to the households (both of them perfectly competitive) and does not have particular consequences for our analysis, nor does it causes any loss of generality. Let us introduce now the equilibrium condition between money demand and supply (9) and the equilibrium condition on the market for the final consumption good (10).

¹⁰ With $m r_L^{-\lambda} r_B^{\lambda} > 1$, we get $\mu=1$, i.e. the firm is financing its investments only with bank credit, then we have $\varepsilon_{\mu L} = 0$, and (5) becomes the following:
 $p(\partial y/\partial k)[1 + 1/n\varepsilon_{DP}] = 1 + r_L [1 + 1/(n\varepsilon_{SL})]$.

¹¹ According to this consideration, there should be also a contribution of the industry output to the income of the public sector, through the interest rate on the state bonds. Since the interest rate on the bonds is endogenous, there should be a

$$D^H(pY, r_B) - BM/q(r_L, r_B) = 0 = f_5(r_L, r_B, BM). \quad (9)$$

$$C(\cdot)p^{-1/\beta} r_B^{-\gamma/\beta} - Nak^\alpha l^{*1-\alpha} = 0 = f_4(p, k, r_B), \quad (10)$$

$D^H(\cdot)$ is the households' demand for deposits, $q(\cdot)$ the reserves e reserve (i.e. the sum of reserve requirements and free reserves) of the banking system, $C = [L(\cdot)^\psi]^{1/\beta}$ is obtained by a simple algebraic manipulation of (4), $Y = Nak^\alpha l^{*1-\alpha}$ is the output produced by all the existing production units (being fixed in the short run the quantity of labour l). Since the equilibrium conditions on the money and bond markets are linearly dependent, we only consider equation (8).

3.2 A comparative statics analysis of the implications of a change in the degree of concentration in the industrial sector

Let us assume, as usual in financial sector models, that in the excess demand functions for financial assets the partial derivatives with respect to the own interest rates are larger (in absolute value) than the derivatives with respect to alternative interest rates. We get the following system, where F is the matrix at the left-hand side of the equality:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r_L} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r_B} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial r_L} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial r_B} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial r_L} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial r_B} \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial k} & 0 & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial r_B} \\ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial r_L} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial r_B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dp \\ dk \\ dr_L \\ dr_B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial n} \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial BM} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dBM \\ dn \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

On the basis of the above-mentioned assumptions we get the following sign pattern:

monetary feedback of the interest rates on the households disposable income and on the firms' profits. We assume here that this monetary feedback is negligible.

¹² In particular, by defining $\zeta(pY)$ that part of households' disposable income generated by the industry output, saved and then invested in bonds, we may assume, without loss of generality, the following relation:

$$B^H(\cdot) = W^* + \zeta(pY) - D^H(r_B, pY).$$

Even here there is a causal link between the output produced by the industry the disposable income and its allocation. In particular, pY affects $B^H(\cdot)$ both negatively (through the transactional motivation in the demand for money) and positively (through its influence on the aggregate level of savings). We assume (consistently with the earlier mentioned assumptions on the demand for the final consumption good) that the former effect prevails on the latter.

¹³ In order to have the signs indicated in equation (8), on the basis of the definition of wealth (and reminding that wealth is given in the model) $W^* + \zeta(pY) = D(\cdot) + B^H(\cdot) - L^{b-H}(\cdot)$, the following conditions are assumed to apply:

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial r_B} = 1; \quad \left| \frac{\partial D(\cdot)}{\partial r_B} \right| > \left| \frac{\partial L^{b-H}}{\partial r_B} \right|$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} + & - & - & ? \\ 0 & + & - & + \\ - & - & - & + \\ - & - & 0 & - \end{bmatrix}$$

The element $\partial f_I / \partial r_B$ in matrix F has an uncertain sign. It is positive for high values of μ , (i.e. for values of r_B sufficiently higher than r_L): in particular, the smaller is μ , the larger must be the difference $r_B - r_L$ in order the inequality $\partial f_I / \partial r_B > 0$ is satisfied. Two benchmark cases seem to appear here, i.e. when $\partial f_I / \partial r_B$ is positive (μ high) and negative (μ low), which will be defined respectively “**case A**” and “**case B**”. To these two we should actually add one more particular case, where the firms entirely finance their investments through bank credit and the elasticity of μ with respect to bank credit is null.

In order to study the implications of an exogenous change in the industrial sector market structure we will focus our attention on the case where the monetary policy does not show any perverse effect, i.e. when $dk/dBM > 0$ (an expansionary operation does not cause a reduction in the investments).

Endogenizing the composition of the firms’ liabilities makes the model rather complex and requires some restrictions (shown and discussed in the Appendix). The intuitive meaning of these restrictions is the following: since the industrial firms are able to switch from very high to very low values of μ as a reaction (for instance) to a monetary negative shock, they will tend to avoid the effects of monetary policy by borrowing on the market (and at the interest rate) less reactive to the monetary shock. This generates a monetary feedback of opposite sign with respect to the initial monetary policy operation, and the restrictions only requires that this particular monetary feedback be smaller (in absolute value) than the initial monetary policy operation that generates it. The restrictions are therefore rather general. When the monetary policy multiplier is positive (i.e. when inequalities (16) to (19), shown and discussed in the appendix, are satisfied), we get the following results:

$$dk/dn > 0; \quad (12)$$

$$dp/dn < 0, \quad (13)$$

i.e. a reduction in the degree of concentration in the industrial sector increases, *ceteris paribus*, the equilibrium level of investments and reduces the price of the goods, while the effect on the interest rates is more ambiguous¹⁴, and so is the effect on the monetary policy multiplier dk/dBM . Formally we have:

$$d(k/dBM)/dn = [(1/\det(F))] \cdot [(dD_1/dn) - (d(\det(F))/dn) \cdot dk/dBM] = QD + Q\Delta. \quad (14)$$

¹⁴ In particular, dr_L/dn is composed by 3 positive terms and 1 negative term, while dr_B/dn is composed by 2 negative terms and 1 positive term.

where $QD = [(1/\det(F)) \cdot (dD_1/dn)]$; and $Q\Delta = [(1/\det(F)) [- (d(\det(F))/dn) \cdot dk/dBM]$.

QD may be interpreted as the impact that changes in the money base have on the modified conditions of competition; the latter “spread” their effects not only through the goods market, but also through the financial markets. In other words, QD may be interpreted as the “direct” impact that an exogenous increase in n has primarily on the “sensitiveness” of the firm’s first order conditions with respect to the interest rate r_L (i.e. on the “curvature” of f_1), and secondarily (through a monetary feedback involving the partial derivatives with respect to the interest rates in both the credit market, described by f_2 , and the security market, described by f_3), on the goods market.

The term $Q\Delta$ may be interpreted as the effect determined by an exogenous modification in n , “for a given value of the multiplier dk/dBM ”, through all the variables (k, r_L, r_B) appearing in the bank credit market.

While $\det(F)$ and dk/dBM are positive in the case of monetary policy without perverse effects, the terms dD/dn and $d(\det(F))/dn$ show ambiguous signs. However it is possible to make a few points on the various effects that contribute to determine (14). In particular, QD (composed by 4 negative elements and 3 positive elements when μ elasticity with respect to the bank loans interest rate is equal to $-\lambda$) is positive when $\mu = 1$ (investments entirely financed by banks). This means that QD is positive in the extreme case of “non- substitutability” of bank credit for industrial firms (which might be interpreted as an “extreme credit view case” where $\mu=1$ and $\varepsilon_{\mu L}=0$).

Furthermore, dD/dn (and, as a consequence, QD) will be larger (and more likely to be positive) the smaller is the demand elasticity ε_{DP} and will be smaller (and more likely to be negative) the smaller is the sum “ $\varepsilon_{SL} - \varepsilon_{\mu L}$ ” (positive since it is composed by two positive addends in absolute value), i.e. the more anelastic is the goods market with respect to the bank credit market (having defined the rigidity of the latter as the sum of ε_{SL} and $\varepsilon_{\mu L}$).

When μ changes, on the other hand $(d(\det(F))/dn)$ is constant while (dk/dBM) (always composed by 5 positive elements and 1 negative element) may show modifications of relatively small magnitude¹⁵.

¹⁵ In particular, when μ changes, the two terms containing the derivative $\partial f_1/\partial r_B$ change sign in opposite directions .

4. Concluding remarks

These last years have witnessed a significant increase in the number and relevance of mergers and acquisitions in relevant sectors (such as telecommunications and high technology) of many EMU countries.

The theoretical model introduced here shows how an exogenous modification in the degree of concentration in the industrial sector affects:

a) the equilibrium level of investments and the price of the good produced by the “concentrated” industrial sector;

b) the transmission mechanism of the monetary policy with composite effects that, in spite of their complexity, may be decomposed into precise elements with a specific economic interpretation.

Another purpose of the present analysis was to present a first simplified framework to study the interactions between market power of industrial firms on the credit market and endogeneity of their financial structure in terms of recourse to bank credit or securities. For this reason one of the main assumptions of the model is the existence of the “credit channel” of monetary shocks transmission¹⁶. In this regard, this work is close, at least in spirit to the “credit view”, even though the assumption of substitutability between bank credit and securities for the industrial firms allows us to identify here a more general framework where the exclusive recourse to bank credit or securities constitute two extreme benchmark cases. The endogeneity in the composition of external finance for industrial firms also allows to formalise (although in simple one-period context) a situation of simultaneity between financial and investment decisions for the firms.

Appendix

Model restrictions

In the model it is assumed that monetary policy has no perverse effects, i.e. the monetary policy multiplier is positive. Formally we have:

$$\begin{aligned} dk/dBM = & [(1/\det(F)) \cdot \{ (\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) \cdot [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) \cdot \\ & \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)] + (\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + \\ & + [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot \\ & \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)] \} = [(1/\det(F)) \cdot D_1 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

¹⁶ In this regard we employ here a more extended definition of monetary shock than the one commonly used by Bernanke and Blinder (1988, 1992) and closer to the one used by Friedman and Kuttner (1993), who consider not only the “monetary policy shocks”, but any exogenous and/or unpredictable change that may take place in the monetary sector of the economy.

$$D_1 = \{(\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) \cdot [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)] + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + \\ + [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot \\ \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)]\}$$

The conditions that satisfy (15) are rather general in terms of relative magnitude of the elements composing $\det(F)$ and D_1 .

D_1 is composed (both in case "A" and in case "B") by 5 positive elements and one negative element only. In particular we will have $D_1 > 0$ when when the following conditions (16) (for "case A" with μ high) and (17) (for "case B" with μ low)

$$\{(\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) \cdot [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)] + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + \\ + [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B)]\} > |(\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)| \quad (16)$$

$$\{(\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) \cdot [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)] + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + [(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)]\} > \\ |(\partial S(\cdot)/\partial BM) (\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_4/\partial p)| \quad (17)$$

(16) is not a very restrictive condition, since one can easily show that $(\partial f_1/\partial r_L)$ tends to zero when μ is very large and close to one.. Even (17), is not a very restrictive condition, unless one assumes an abnormally large magnitude of $(\partial f_1/\partial r_B)$ with respect to $(\partial f_1/\partial p)$ and, more in general, with respect to the other partial derivatives that compose the five positive terms on the left-hand side of (17).

For the sake of the model discussion, $\det(F)$ is composed, when μ is big (in "case A"), by 9 positive elements and 5 negative elements, and, when μ is low (i.e. in "case B"), by 10 positive elements and 4 negative elements. In particular, $\det(F)$ will be positive when the two following conditions are satisfied (for "case A" and "case B" respectively)

$$(\partial f_4/\partial p)[(\partial f_1/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k) + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B)] + (\partial f_4/\partial k)[(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) + -(\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot \\ \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p)] + (\partial f_4/\partial r_B)[(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) + (\partial f_1/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k)] > \\ > |(\partial f_4/\partial k)| \cdot [|(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L)| + |(\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p)| + \\ |(\partial f_4/\partial p)| \cdot [|(\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L)| + |(\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k)| + |(\partial f_1/\partial k) \cdot \\ \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L)|]. \quad (18)$$

$$(\partial f_4/\partial p)[(\partial f_1/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B) + (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k) + \\ + (\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k) + \\ -(\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B)] + (\partial f_4/\partial k)[(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_B)] + (\partial f_4/\partial r_B)[(\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot \\ \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial r_L) + (\partial f_1/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial r_L) \cdot \\ \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial k) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial p) - (\partial f_1/\partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2/\partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3/\partial k)] >$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> |(\partial f_4 / \partial k)| \cdot [|(\partial f_1 / \partial p) \cdot (\partial f_2 / \partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3 / \partial r_L)| + |(\partial f_1 / \partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_2 / \partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3 / \partial p)| + |(\partial f_1 / \partial r_B) \cdot \\
&\cdot (\partial f_2 / \partial r_L) \cdot (\partial f_3 / \partial p)| + |(\partial f_4 / \partial p)| \cdot |(\partial f_1 / \partial k) \cdot (\partial f_2 / \partial r_B) \cdot (\partial f_3 / \partial r_L)|]. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

The case where $\det(F) < 0$ and $D_1 < 0$ would correspond to a positive monetary policy multiplier dk/dBM , but with abnormal values and magnitudes for the partial derivatives of the implicit functions that constitute the negative terms in $\det(F)$ and D_1 . This case has not been taken into consideration because it would have been associated to the paradoxical situation where the nominal monetary policy multiplier does not show any perverse effects, due to the composite effects of two perverse effects.

References

- Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato, (1999)**, *Relazione annuale dell'attività svolta*, Roma, 30 Aprile 1999, Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato - Libreria dello Stato.
- Bernanke, B., S., Blinder, A., S. (1988)**, "Is It Money or Credit, or Both, or Neither? - Credit Money and Aggregate Demand", *American Economic Review*, 78, pp.435-451
- Bernanke, B., S., Blinder, A., S., (1992)**, "The Federal Funds Rate and the Channels of Monetary Transmission" *American Economic Review*, 82, pp. 901-927
- Blinder, A., Canetti, E., Lebow, D., E., Rudd, J.B., (1998)**, *Asking about prices: a new approach to understanding price stickiness*, Russell Sage, New York.
- Brander, J., A., Lewis, T., R., (1985)**, "Oligopoly and the Financial Structure - The Limited Liability Effect", *American Economic Review*, 75, pp. 956-970
- Freixas, X., Rochet, J-C., (1997)**, *Microeconomics of Banking*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England, M.I.T. Press.
- Friedman, B., M., Kuttner, K., N., (1993)**, "Economic Activity and the Short-Term Credit Markets: An Analysis of Prices and Quantities" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1993, 2, pp. 193-266
- Kreps, D.M., Scheinkman, J.A., (1983)**, "Quantity Precommitment and Bertrand Competition Yield Cournot Outcomes", *Bell Journal of Economics*, 14, pp.326-37.
- Maggi, G., (1996)**, "Strategic Trade Policies with Endogenous Mode of Oligopolistic Competition", *American Economic Review*, 86, pp.237-58.
- Mayer, C. (1993)** "Corporate Finance", in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Money and Finance*, London, MacMillan Press
- Mazzoli, M. (1998)**, *Credit, Investments and the Macroeconomy*, Cambridge, U.K., New York, NY, USA, Cambridge University Press.
- Poitervin, M. (1989a)**, «Financial Signalling and the 'Deep Pocket' Argument», *Rand Journal of Economics*, 20, pp.26-40
- Poitervin, M. (1989b)**, «Collusion and Banking Structure of a Duopoly», *Canadian Journal of Economics*, XXII, no.2, pp. 263-277
- Poitervin, M. (1990)**, «Strategic Financial Signalling», *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 8, pp.499-518
- Schmidt, R.H. (1999)**, *Differences between Financial Systems in European Countries: Consequences for EMU*, mimeo, presented at the Bundesbank conference on *The Monetary Transmission Process*.
- VanHoose, D.D. (1983)**, "Monetary Policy Under Alternative Market Structure", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 7, pp. 383-404
- VanHoose, D.D. (1985)**, "Bank Market Structure and Monetary Control", *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 17, pp. 298-311.

1. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo [1985] "Yoan Violet Robinson (1903-1983)", pp. 134
2. Sergio Lugaesi [1986] "Le imposte nelle teorie del sovrappiù", pp. 26
3. Massimo D'Angelillo e Leonardo Paggi [1986] "PCI e socialdemocrazie europee. Quale riformismo?", pp. 158
4. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1986] "Un suggerimento hobsoniano su terziario ed occupazione: il caso degli Stati Uniti 1960/1983", pp. 52
5. Paolo Bosi e Paolo Silvestri [1986] "La distribuzione per aree disciplinari dei fondi destinati ai Dipartimenti, Istituti e Centri dell'Università di Modena: una proposta di riforma", pp. 25
6. Marco Lippi [1986] "Aggregations and Dynamic in One-Equation Econometric Models", pp. 64
7. Paolo Silvestri [1986] "Le tasse scolastiche e universitarie nella Legge Finanziaria 1986", pp. 41
8. Mario Forni [1986] "Storie familiari e storie di proprietà. Itinerari sociali nell'agricoltura italiana del dopoguerra", pp. 165
9. Sergio Paba [1986] "Gruppi strategici e concentrazione nell'industria europea degli elettrodomestici bianchi", pp. 56
10. Nerio Naldi [1986] "L'efficienza marginale del capitale nel breve periodo", pp. 54
11. Fernando Vianello [1986] "Labour Theory of Value", pp. 31
12. Piero Ganugi [1986] "Risparmio forzato e politica monetaria negli economisti italiani tra le due guerre", pp. 40
13. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo e Annalisa Rosselli [1986] "The Theory of the Gold Standard and Ricardo's Standard Comodity", pp. 30
14. Giovanni Solinas [1986] "Mercati del lavoro locali e carriere di lavoro giovanili", pp. 66
15. Giovanni Bonifati [1986] "Saggio dell'interesse e domanda effettiva. Osservazioni sul cap. 17 della General Theory", pp. 42
16. Marina Murat [1986] "Betwin old and new classical macroeconomics: notes on Lejonhufvud's notion of full information equilibrium", pp. 20
17. Sebastiano Brusco e Giovanni Solinas [1986] "Mobilità occupazionale e disoccupazione in Emilia Romagna", pp. 48
18. Mario Forni [1986] "Aggregazione ed esogeneità", pp. 13
19. Sergio Lugaesi [1987] "Redistribuzione del reddito, consumi e occupazione", pp. 17
20. Fiorenzo Sperotto [1987] "L'immagine neopopulista di mercato debole nel primo dibattito sovietico sulla pianificazione", pp. 34
21. M. Cecilia Guerra [1987] "Benefici tributari nel regime misto per i dividendi proposto dalla commissione Sarcinelli: una nota critica", pp. 9
22. Leonardo Paggi [1987] "Contemporary Europe and Modern America: Theories of Modernity in Comparative Perspective", pp. 38
23. Fernando Vianello [1987] "A Critique of Professor Goodwin's 'Critique of Sraffa'", pp. 12
24. Fernando Vianello [1987] "Effective Demand and the Rate of Profits. Some Thoughts on Marx, Kalecki and Sraffa", pp. 41
25. Anna Maria Sala [1987] "Banche e territorio. Approccio ad un tema geografico-economico", pp. 40
26. Enzo Mingione e Giovanni Mottura [1987] "Fattori di trasformazione e nuovi profili sociali nell'agricoltura italiana: qualche elemento di discussione", pp. 36
27. Giovanna Procacci [1988] "The State and Social Control in Italy During the First World War", pp. 18
28. Massimo Matteuzzi e Annamaria Simonazzi [1988] "Il debito pubblico", pp. 62
29. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo (a cura di) [1988] "Richard F. Kahn. A discipline of Keynes", pp. 118
30. Paolo Bosi [1988] "MICROMOD. Un modello dell'economia italiana per la didattica della politica fiscale", pp. 34
31. Paolo Bosi [1988] "Indicatori della politica fiscale. Una rassegna e un confronto con l'aiuto di MICROMOD", pp. 25
32. Giovanna Procacci [1988] "Protesta popolare e agitazioni operaie in Italia 1915-1918", pp. 45
33. Margherita Russo [1988] "Distretto Industriale e servizi. Uno studio dei trasporti nella produzione e nella vendita delle piastrelle", pp. 157
34. Margherita Russo [1988] "The effect of technical change on skill requirements: an empirical analysis", pp. 28
35. Carlo Grillenzoni [1988] "Identification, estimations of multivariate transfer functions", pp. 33
36. Nerio Naldi [1988] "'Keynes' concept of capital", pp. 40
37. Andrea Ginzburg [1988] "locomotiva Italia?", pp. 30
38. Giovanni Mottura [1988] "La 'persistenza' secolare. Appunti su agricoltura contadina ed agricoltura familiare nelle società industriali", pp. 40
39. Giovanni Mottura [1988] "L'anticamera dell'esodo. I contadini italiani della 'restaurazione contrattuale' fascista alla riforma fondiaria", pp. 40
40. Leonardo Paggi [1988] "Americanismo e riformismo. La socialdemocrazia europea nell'economia mondiale aperta", pp. 120
41. Annamaria Simonazzi [1988] "Fenomeni di isteresi nella spiegazione degli alti tassi di interesse reale", pp. 44
42. Antonietta Bassetti [1989] "Analisi dell'andamento e della casualità della borsa valori", pp. 12
43. Giovanna Procacci [1989] "State coercion and worker solidarity in Italy (1915-1918): the moral and political content of social unrest", pp. 41
44. Carlo Alberto Magni [1989] "Reputazione e credibilità di una minaccia in un gioco bargaining", pp. 56
45. Giovanni Mottura [1989] "Agricoltura familiare e sistema agroalimentare in Italia", pp. 84
46. Mario Forni [1989] "Trend, Cycle and 'Fortuitous cancellation': a Note on a Paper by Nelson and Plosser", pp. 4
47. Paolo Bosi, Roberto Golinelli, Anna Stagni [1989] "Le origini del debito pubblico e il costo della stabilizzazione", pp. 26
48. Roberto Golinelli [1989] "Note sulla struttura e sull'impiego dei modelli macroeconomici", pp. 21
49. Marco Lippi [1989] "A Shorte Note on Cointegration and Aggregation", pp. 11
50. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1989] "The Linkage between Tertiary and Industrial Sector in the Italian Economy: 1951-1988. From an External Dependence to an International One", pp. 40
51. Gabriele Pastrello [1989] "Francois quesnay: dal Tableau Zig-zag al Tableau Formule: una ricostruzione", pp. 48
52. Paolo Silvestri [1989] "Il bilancio dello stato", pp. 34
53. Tim Mason [1990] "Tre seminari di storia sociale contemporanea", pp. 26
54. Michele Lalla [1990] "The Aggregate Escape Rate Analysed through the Queueing Model", pp. 23
55. Paolo Silvestri [1990] "Sull'autonomia finanziaria dell'università", pp. 11
56. Paola Bertolini, Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Uno studio di 'filiera' nell'agroindustria. Il caso del Parmigiano Reggiano", pp. 164

57. Paolo Bosi, Roberto Golinelli, Anna Stagni [1990] "Effetti macroeconomici, settoriali e distributivi dell'armonizzazione dell'IVA", pp. 24
58. Michele Lalla [1990] "Modelling Employment Spells from Emilia Labour Force Data", pp. 18
59. Andrea Ginzburg [1990] "Politica Nazionale e commercio internazionale", pp. 22
60. Andrea Giommi [1990] "La probabilità individuale di risposta nel trattamento dei dati mancanti", pp. 13
61. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1990] "The service sector in planned economies. Past experiences and future prospectives", pp. 32
62. Giovanni Solinas [1990] "Competenze, grandi industrie e distretti industriali. Il caso Magneti Marelli", pp. 23
63. Andrea Ginzburg [1990] "Debito pubblico, teorie monetarie e tradizione civica nell'Inghilterra del Settecento", pp. 30
64. Mario Forni [1990] "Incertezza, informazione e mercati assicurativi: una rassegna", pp. 37
65. Mario Forni [1990] "Misspecification in Dynamic Models", pp. 19
66. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1990] "Service Sector Growth in CPE's: An Unsolved Dilemma", pp. 28
67. Paola Bertolini [1990] "La situazione agro-alimentare nei paesi ad economia avanzata", pp. 20
68. Paola Bertolini [1990] "Sistema agro-alimentare in Emilia Romagna ed occupazione", pp. 65
69. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Efficienza ed innovazione: il modello "fondi e flussi" applicato ad una filiera agro-industriale", pp. 38
70. Margherita Russo [1990] "Cambiamento tecnico e distretto industriale: una verifica empirica", pp. 115
71. Margherita Russo [1990] "Distretti industriali in teoria e in pratica: una raccolta di saggi", pp. 119
72. Paolo Silvestri [1990] "La Legge Finanziaria. Voce dell'enciclopedia Europea Garzanti", pp. 8
73. Rita Paltrinieri [1990] "La popolazione italiana: problemi di oggi e di domani", pp. 57
74. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Illusioni ottiche negli andamenti delle Grandezze distributive: la scala mobile e l'appiattimento delle retribuzioni in una ricerca", pp. 120
75. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Crisi e mercato del lavoro in un distretto industriale: il bacino delle ceramiche. Sez. I", pp. 150
76. Enrico Giovannetti [1990] "Crisi e mercato del lavoro in un distretto industriale: il bacino delle ceramiche. Sez. II", pp. 145
78. Antonietta Bassetti e Costanza Torricelli [1990] "Una riqualificazione dell'approccio bargaining alla selezioni di portafoglio", pp. 4
77. Antonietta Bassetti e Costanza Torricelli [1990] "Il portafoglio ottimo come soluzione di un gioco bargaining", pp. 15
79. Mario Forni [1990] "Una nota sull'errore di aggregazione", pp. 6
80. Francesca Bergamini [1991] "Alcune considerazioni sulle soluzioni di un gioco bargaining", pp. 21
81. Michele Grillo e Michele Polo [1991] "Political Exchange and the allocation of surplus: a Model of Two-party competition", pp. 34
82. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1991] "The 1990 Polish Recession: a Case of Truncated Multiplier Process", pp. 26
83. Gian Paolo Caselli e Gabriele Pastrello [1991] "Polish firms: Pricate Vices Pubblis Virtues", pp. 20
84. Sebastiano Brusco e Sergio Paba [1991] "Connessioni, competenze e capacità concorrenziale nell'industria della Sardegna", pp. 25
85. Claudio Grimaldi, Rony Hamaui, Nicola Rossi [1991] "Non Marketable assets and households' Portfolio Choice: a Case of Study of Italy", pp. 38
86. Giulio Righi, Massimo Baldini, Alessandra Brambilla [1991] "Le misure degli effetti redistributivi delle imposte indirette: confronto tra modelli alternativi", pp. 47
87. Roberto Fanfani, Luca Lanini [1991] "Innovazione e servizi nello sviluppo della meccanizzazione agricola in Italia", pp. 35
88. Antonella Caiumi e Roberto Golinelli [1992] "Stima e applicazioni di un sistema di domanda Almost Ideal per l'economia italiana", pp. 34
89. Maria Cristina Marcuzzo [1992] "La relazione salari-occupazione tra rigidità reali e rigidità nominali", pp. 30
90. Mario Biagioli [1992] "Employee financial participation in enterprise results in Italy", pp. 50
91. Mario Biagioli [1992] "Wage structure, relative prices and international competitiveness", pp. 50
92. Paolo Silvestri e Giovanni Solinas [1993] "Abbandoni, esiti e carriera scolastica. Uno studio sugli studenti iscritti alla Facoltà di Economia e Commercio dell'Università di Modena nell'anno accademico 1990/1991", pp. 30
93. Gian Paolo Caselli e Luca Martinelli [1993] "Italian GPN growth 1890-1992: a unit root or segmented trend representatin?", pp. 30
94. Angela Politi [1993] "La rivoluzione fraintesa. I partigiani emiliani tra liberazione e guerra fredda, 1945-1955", pp. 55
95. Alberto Rinaldi [1993] "Lo sviluppo dell'industria metalmeccanica in provincia di Modena: 1945-1990", pp. 70
96. Paolo Emilio Mistrulli [1993] "Debito pubblico, intermediari finanziari e tassi d'interesse: il caso italiano", pp. 30
97. Barbara Pistoresi [1993] "Modelling disaggregate and aggregate labour demand equations. Cointegration analysis of a labour demand function for the Main Sectors of the Italian Economy: 1950-1990", pp. 45
98. Giovanni Bonifati [1993] "Progresso tecnico e accumulazione di conoscenza nella teoria neoclassica della crescita endogena. Una analisi critica del modello di Romer", pp. 50
99. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1994] "The relationship(s) among Wages, Prices, Unemployment and Productivity in Italy", pp. 30
100. Mario Forni [1994] "Consumption Volatility and Income Persistence in the Permanent Income Model", pp. 30
101. Barbara Pistoresi [1994] "Using a VECM to characterise the relative importance of permanent and transitory components", pp. 28
102. Gian Paolo Caselli and Gabriele Pastrello [1994] "Polish recovery form the slump to an old dilemma", pp. 20
103. Sergio Paba [1994] "Imprese visibili, accesso al mercato e organizzazione della produzione", pp. 20
104. Giovanni Bonifati [1994] "Progresso tecnico, investimenti e capacità produttiva", pp. 30
105. Giuseppe Marotta [1994] "Credit view and trade credit: evidence from Italy", pp. 20
106. Margherita Russo [1994] "Unit of investigation for local economic development policies", pp. 25
107. Luigi Brighi [1995] "Monotonicity and the demand theory of the weak axioms", pp. 20
108. Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1995] "Modelling the impact of technological change across sectors and over time in manufacturing", pp. 25
109. Marcello D'Amato and Barbara Pistoresi [1995] "Modelling wage growth dynamics in Italy: 1960-1990", pp. 38
110. Massimo Baldini [1995] "INDIMOD. Un modello di microsimulazione per lo studio delle imposte indirette", pp. 37

111. Paolo Bosi [1995] "Regionalismo fiscale e autonomia tributaria: l'emersione di un modello di consenso", pp. 38
112. Massimo Baldini [1995] "Aggregation Factors and Aggregation Bias in Consumer Demand", pp. 33
113. Costanza Torricelli [1995] "The information in the term structure of interest rates. Can stochastic models help in resolving the puzzle?" pp. 25
114. Margherita Russo [1995] "Industrial complex, pôle de développement, distretto industriale. Alcune questioni sulle unità di indagine nell'analisi dello sviluppo." pp. 45
115. Angelika Moryson [1995] "50 Jahre Deutschland. 1945 - 1995" pp. 21
116. Paolo Bosi [1995] "Un punto di vista macroeconomico sulle caratteristiche di lungo periodo del nuovo sistema pensionistico italiano." pp. 32
117. Gian Paolo Caselli e Salvatore Curatolo [1995] "Esistono relazioni stimabili fra dimensione ed efficienza delle istituzioni e crescita produttiva? Un esercizio nello spirito di D.C. North." pp. 11
118. Mario Forni e Marco Lippi [1995] "Permanent income, heterogeneity and the error correction mechanism." pp. 21
119. Barbara Pistoresi [1995] "Co-movements and convergence in international output. A Dynamic Principal Components Analysis" pp. 14
120. Mario Forni e Lucrezia Reichlin [1995] "Dynamic common factors in large cross-section" pp. 17
121. Giuseppe Marotta [1995] "Il credito commerciale in Italia: una nota su alcuni aspetti strutturali e sulle implicazioni di politica monetaria" pp. 20
122. Giovanni Bonifati [1995] "Progresso tecnico, concorrenza e decisioni di investimento: una analisi delle determinanti di lungo periodo degli investimenti" pp. 25
123. Giovanni Bonifati [1995] "Cambiamento tecnico e crescita endogena: una valutazione critica delle ipotesi del modello di Romer" pp. 21
124. Barbara Pistoresi e Marcello D'Amato [1995] "La riservatezza del banchiere centrale è un bene o un male? Effetti dell'informazione incompleta sul benessere in un modello di politica monetaria." pp. 32
125. Barbara Pistoresi [1995] "Radici unitarie e persistenza: l'analisi univariata delle fluttuazioni economiche." pp. 33
126. Barbara Pistoresi e Marcello D'Amato [1995] "Co-movements in European real outputs" pp. 20
127. Antonio Ribba [1996] "Ciclo economico, modello lineare-stocastico, forma dello spettro delle variabili macroeconomiche" pp. 31
128. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Repeatable and una tantum real options a dynamic programming approach" pp. 23
129. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Opzioni reali d'investimento e interazione competitiva: programmazione dinamica stocastica in optimal stopping" pp. 26
130. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Vaghezza e logica fuzzy nella valutazione di un'opzione reale" pp. 20
131. Giuseppe Marotta [1996] "Does trade credit redistribution thwart monetary policy? Evidence from Italy" pp. 20
132. Mauro Dell'Amico e Marco Trubian [1996] "Almost-optimal solution of large weighted equicut problems" pp. 30
133. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Un esempio di investimento industriale con interazione competitiva e avversione al rischio" pp. 20
134. Margherita Russo, Peter Börkey, Emilio Cubel, François Lévêque, Francisco Mas [1996] "Local sustainability and competitiveness: the case of the ceramic tile industry" pp. 66
135. Margherita Russo [1996] "Camionetto tecnico e relazioni tra imprese" pp. 190
136. David Avra Lane, Irene Poli, Michele Lalla, Alberto Roverato [1996] "Lezioni di probabilità e inferenza statistica" pp. 288
137. David Avra Lane, Irene Poli, Michele Lalla, Alberto Roverato [1996] "Lezioni di probabilità e inferenza statistica - Esercizi svolti -" pp. 302
138. Barbara Pistoresi [1996] "Is an Aggregate Error Correction Model Representative of Disaggregate Behaviours? An example" pp. 24
139. Luisa Malaguti e Costanza Torricelli [1996] "Monetary policy and the term structure of interest rates" pp. 30
140. Mauro Dell'Amico, Martine Labbé, Francesco Maffioli [1996] "Exact solution of the SONET Ring Loading Problem", pp. 20
141. Mauro Dell'Amico, R.J.M. Vaessens [1996] "Flow and open shop scheduling on two machines with transportation times and machine-independent processing times in NP-hard, pp. 10
142. M. Dell'Amico, F. Maffioli, A. Sciomechen [1996] "A Lagrangean Heuristic for the Pirze Collecting Travelling Salesman Problem", pp. 14
143. Massimo Baldini [1996] "Inequality Decomposition by Income Source in Italy - 1987 - 1993", pp. 20
144. Graziella Bertocchi [1996] "Trade, Wages, and the Persistence of Underdevelopment" pp. 20
145. Graziella Bertocchi and Fabio Canova [1996] "Did Colonization matter for Growth? An Empirical Exploration into the Historical Causes of Africa's Underdevelopment" pp. 32
146. Paola Bertolini [1996] "La modernization de l'agriculture italienne et le cas de l'Emilie Romagne" pp. 20
147. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Organisation industrielle et développement local: le cas de l'agroindustrie in Emilie Romagne" pp. 18
148. Maria Elena Bontempi e Roberto Golinelli [1996] "Le determinanti del leverage delle imprese: una applicazione empirica ai settori industriali dell'economia italiana" pp. 31
149. Paola Bertolini [1996] "L'agriculture et la politique agricole italienne face aux recents scenarios", pp. 20
150. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Il grado di utilizzo della capacità produttiva come misura dei costi di transazione: una rilettura di "Nature of the Firm" di R. Coase", pp. 75
151. Enrico Giovannetti [1996] "Il I° ciclo del Diploma Universitario Economia e Amministrazione delle Imprese", pp. 25
152. Paola Bertolini, Enrico Giovannetti, Giulia Santacaterina [1996] "Il Settore del Verde Pubblico. Analisi della domanda e valutazione economica dei benefici", pp. 35
153. Giovanni Solinas [1996] "Sistemi produttivi del Centro-Nord e del Mezzogiorno. L'industria delle calzature", pp. 55
154. Tindara Addabbo [1996] "Married Women's Labour Supply in Italy in a Regional Perspective", pp. 85
155. Paolo Silvestri, Giuseppe Catalano, Cristina Bevilacqua [1996] "Le tasse universitarie e gli interventi per il diritto allo studio: la prima fase di applicazione di una nuova normativa" pp. 159
156. Sebastiano Brusco, Paolo Bertossi, Margherita Russo [1996] "L'industria dei rifiuti urbani in Italia", pp. 25
157. Paolo Silvestri, Giuseppe Catalano [1996] "Le risorse del sistema universitario italiano: finanziamento e governo" pp. 400
158. Carlo Alberto Magni [1996] "Un semplice modello di opzione di differimento e di vendita in ambito discreto", pp. 10
159. Tito Pietra, Paolo Siconolfi [1996] "Fully Revealing Equilibria in Sequential Economies with Asset Markets" pp. 17
160. Tito Pietra, Paolo Siconolfi [1996] "Extrinsic Uncertainty and the Informational Role of Prices" pp. 42
161. Paolo Bertella Farnetti [1996] "Il negro e il rosso. Un precedente non esplorato dell'integrazione afroamericana negli Stati Uniti" pp. 26
162. David Lane [1996] "Is what is good for each best for all? Learning from others in the information contagion model" pp. 18

163. Antonio Ribba [1996] "A note on the equivalence of long-run and short-run identifying restrictions in cointegrated systems" pp. 10
164. Antonio Ribba [1996] "Scomposizioni permanenti-transitorie in sistemi cointegrati con una applicazione a dati italiani" pp. 23
165. Mario Forni, Sergio Paba [1996] "Economic Growth, Social Cohesion and Crime" pp. 20
166. Mario Forni, Lucrezia Reichlin [1996] "Let's get real: a factor analytical approach to disaggregated business cycle dynamics" pp. 25
167. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoiesi [1996] "So many Italies: Statistical Evidence on Regional Cohesion" pp. 31
168. Elena Bonfiglioli, Paolo Bosi, Stefano Toso [1996] "L'equità del contributo straordinario per l'Europa" pp. 20
169. Graziella Bertocchi, Michael Spagat [1996] "Il ruolo dei licei e delle scuole tecnico-professionali tra progresso tecnologico, conflitto sociale e sviluppo economico" pp. 37
170. Gianna Boero, Costanza Torricelli [1997] "The Expectations Hypothesis of the Term Structure of Interest Rates: Evidence for Germany" pp. 15
171. Mario Forni, Lucrezia Reichlin [1997] "National Policies and Local Economies: Europe and the US" pp. 22
172. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "La trappola del Roe e la tridimensionalità del Van in un approccio sistemico". pp. 16
173. Mauro Dell'Amico [1997] "A Linear Time Algorithm for Scheduling Outforests with Communication Delays on Two or Three Processor" pp. 18
174. Paolo Bosi [1997] "Aumentare l'età pensionabile fa diminuire la spesa pensionistica? Ancora sulle caratteristiche di lungo periodo della riforma Dini" pp. 13
175. Paolo Bosi e Massimo Matteuzzi [1997] "Nuovi strumenti per l'assistenza sociale" pp. 31
176. Mauro Dell'Amico, Francesco Maffioli e Marco Trubian [1997] "New bounds for optimum traffic assignment in satellite communication" pp. 21
177. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Paradossi, inverosimiglianze e contraddizioni del Van: operazioni certe" pp. 9
178. Barbara Pistoiesi e Marcello D'Amato [1997] "Persistence of relative unemployment rates across italian regions" pp. 25
179. Margherita Russo, Franco Cavedoni e Riccardo Pianesani [1997] "Le spese ambientali dei Comuni in provincia di Modena, 1993-1995" pp. 23
180. Gabriele Pastrello [1997] "Time and Equilibrium, Two Elusive Guests in the Keynes-Hawtrey-Robertson Debate in the Thirties" pp. 25
181. Luisa Malaguti e Costanza Torricelli [1997] "The Interaction Between Monetary Policy and the Expectation Hypothesis of the Term Structure of Interest rates in a N-Period Rational Expectation Model" pp. 27
182. Mauro Dell'Amico [1997] "On the Continuous Relaxation of Packing Problems - Technical Note" pp. 8
183. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Prova di Idoneità di Informatica Dispensa Esercizi Excel 5" pp. 49
184. Francesca Bergamini e Stefano Bordini [1997] "Una verifica empirica di un nuovo metodo di selezione ottima di portafoglio" pp. 22
185. Gian Paolo Caselli e Maurizio Battini [1997] "Following the tracks of atkinson and micklewright the changing distribution of income and earnings in poland from 1989 to 1995". pp. 21
186. Mauro Dell'Amico e Francesco Maffioli [1997] "Combining Linear and Non-Linear Objectives in Spanning Tree Problems" pp. 21
187. Gianni Ricci e Vanessa Debbia [1997] "Una soluzione evolutiva in un gioco differenziale di lotta di classe" pp. 14
188. Fabio Canova e Eva Ortega [1997] "Testing Calibrated General Equilibrium Model" pp. 34
189. Fabio Canova [1997] "Does Detrending Matter for the Determination of the Reference Cycle and the Selection of Turning Points?" pp. 35
190. Fabio Canova e Gianni De Nicolò [1997] "The Equity Premium and the Risk Free Rate: A Cross Country, Cross Maturity Examination" pp. 41
191. Fabio Canova e Angel J. Ubide [1997] "International Business Cycles, Financial Market and Household Production" pp. 32
192. Fabio Canova e Gianni De Nicolò [1997] "Stock Returns, Term Structure, Inflation and Real Activity: An International Perspective" pp. 33
193. Fabio Canova e Morten Ravn [1997] "The Macroeconomic Effects of German Unification: Real Adjustments and the Welfare State" pp. 34
194. Fabio Canova [1997] "Detrending and Business Cycle Facts" pp. 40
195. Fabio Canova e Morten O. Ravn [1997] "Crossing the Rio Grande: Migrations, Business Cycle and the Welfare State" pp. 37
196. Fabio Canova e Jane Marrinan [1997] "Sources and Propagation of International Output Cycles: Common Shocks or Transmission?" pp. 41
197. Fabio Canova e Albert Marcet [1997] "The Poor Stay Poor: Non-Convergence Across Countries and Regions" pp. 44
198. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Un Criterio Strutturalista per la Valutazione di Investimenti" pp. 17
199. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Elaborazione Automatica dei Dati" pp. 60
200. Paolo Bertella Farnetti [1997] "The United States and the Origins of European Integration" pp. 19
201. Paolo Bosi [1997] "Sul Controllo Dinamico di un Sistema Pensionistico a Ripartizione di Tipo Contributivo" pp. 17
202. Paola Bertolini [1997] "European Union Agricultural Policy: Problems and Perspectives" pp. 18
203. Stefano Bordini [1997] "Supporti Informatici per la Ricerca delle soluzioni di Problemi Decisionali" pp. 30
204. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Paradossi, Inverosimiglianze e Contraddizioni del Van: Operazioni Aleatorie" pp. 10
205. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Tir. Roe e Van: Distorsioni linguistiche e Cognitive nella Valutazione degli Investimenti" pp. 17
206. Gisella Facchinetti, Roberto Ghiselli Ricci e Silvia Muzzioli [1997] "New Methods For Ranking Triangular Fuzzy Numbers: An Investment Choice" pp. 9
207. Mauro Dell'Amico e Silvano Martello [1997] "Reduction of the Three-Partition Problem" pp. 16
208. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "IRR, ROE and NPV: a Systemic Approach" pp. 20
209. Mauro Dell'Amico, Andrea Lodi e Francesco Maffioli [1997] "Solution of the cumulative assignment problem with a well-structured tabu search method" pp. 25
210. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "La definizione di investimento e criterio del Tir ovvero: la realtà inventata" pp. 16
211. Carlo Alberto Magni [1997] "Critica alla definizione classica di investimento: un approccio sistemico" pp. 17
212. Alberto Roverato [1997] "Asymptotic prior to posterior analysis for graphical gaussian models" pp. 8
213. Tindara Addabbo [1997] "Povertà nel 1995 analisi statica e dinamica sui redditi familiari" pp. 64
214. Gian Paolo Caselli e Franca Manghi [1997] "La transizione da piano a mercato e il modello di Ising" pp. 15
215. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "Lavoro non pagato e reddito esteso: un'applicazione alle famiglie italiane in cui entrambi i coniugi sono lavoratori dipendenti" pp. 54

216. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "Probabilità di occupazione e aspettative individuali" pp 36
217. Lara Magnani [1998] "Transazioni, contratti e organizzazioni: una chiave di lettura della teoria economica dell'organizzazione pp 39
218. Michele Lalla, Rosella Molinari e Maria Grazia Modena [1998] "La progressione delle carriere: i percorsi in cardiologia" pp 46
219. Lara Magnani [1998] "L'organizzazione delle transazioni di subfornitura nel distretto industriale" pp 40
220. Antonio Ribba [1998] "Recursive VAR orderings and identification of permanent and transitory shocks" pp12
221. Antonio Ribba [1998] "Granger-causality and exogeneity in cointegrated Var models" pp 5
222. Luigi Brighi e Marcello D'Amato [1998] "Optimal Procurement in Multiproduct Monopoly" pp 25
223. Paolo Bosi, Maria Cecilia Guerra e Paolo Silvestri [1998] "La spesa sociale nel comune Modena" Rapporto intermedio pp 37
224. Mario Fomi e Marco Lippi [1998] "On the Microfoundations of Dynamic Macroeconomics" pp 22
225. Roberto Ghiselli Ricci [1998] "Nuove Proposte di Ordinamento di Numeri Fuzzy. Una Applicazione ad un Problema di Finanziamento pp 7
226. Tommaso Minerva [1998] "Internet Domande e Risposte" pp 183
227. Tommaso Minerva [1998] "Elementi di Statistica Computazione. Parte Prima: Il Sistema Operativo Unix ed il Linguaggio C" pp. 57
228. Tommaso Minerva and Irene Poli [1998] "A Genetic Algorithms Selection Method for Predictive Neural Nets and Linear Models" pp. 60
229. Tommaso Minerva and Irene Poli [1998] "Building an ARMA Model by using a Genetic Algorithm" pp. 60
230. Mauro Dell'Amico e Paolo Toth [1998] "Algorithms and Codes for Dense Assignment Problems: the State of the Art" pp 35
231. Ennio Cavazzuti e Nicoletta Pacchiarotti [1998] "How to play an hotelling game in a square town" pp 12
232. Alberto Roverato e Irene Poli [1998] "Un algoritmo genetico per la selezione di modelli grafici" pp 11
233. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1998] "Delegation of Monetary Policy to a Central Banker with Private Information" pp 15.
234. Graziella Bertocchi e Michael Spagat [1998] "The Evolution of Modern Educational Systems. Technical vs. General Education, Distributional Conflict, and Growth" pp 31
235. André Dumas [1998] "Le système monétaire Européen" pp 24.
236. Gianna Boero, Gianluca Di Lorenzo e Costanza Torricelli [1998] "The influence of short rate predictability and monetary policy on tests of the expectations hypothesis: some comparative evidence" pp 30
237. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "A systemic rule for investment decisions: generalizations of the traditional DCF criteria and new conceptions" pp 30
238. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1998] "Interest Rate Spreads Between Italy and Germany: 1995-1997" pp 16
239. Paola Bertolini e Alberto Bertacchini [1998] "Il distretto di lavorazioni carni suine in provincia di Modena" pp 29
240. Costanza Torricelli e Gianluca Di Lorenzo [1998] "Una nota sui fondamenti matematico-finanziari della teoria delle aspettative della struttura della scadenza" pp. 15
241. Christophe Crous, Mario Fomi e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "A Measure of Comovement for Economic Indicators: Theory and Empirics" pp 23.
242. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "Note sparse sul dilemma del prigioniero (e non solo) pp 13.
243. Gian Paolo Caselli [1998] The future of mass consumption society in the former planned economies: a macro approach pp 21.
244. Mario Fomi, Marc Hallin, Marco Lippi e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "The generalized dynamic factor model: identification and estimation pp 35.
245. Carlo Alberto Magni [1998] "Pictures, language and research: the case of finance and financial mathematics" pp 35.
246. Luigi Brighi [1998] "Demand and generalized monotonicity" pp 21.
247. Mario Fomi e Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "Risk and potential insurance in Europe" pp 20.
248. Tommaso Minerva, Sandra Paterlini e Irene Poli [1998] "A Genetic Algorithm for predictive Neural Network Design (GANND). A Financial Application" pp 12.
249. Gian Paolo Caselli Maurizio Battini [1998] "The Changing Distribution of Earnings in Poland from 1989 to 1996 pp. 9.
250. Mario Fomi, Sergio Paba [1998] "Industrial Districts, Social Environment and Local Growth" Evidence from Italy pp. 27.
251. Lara Magnani [1998] "Un'analisi del distretto industriale fondata sulla moderna teoria economica dell'organizzazione" pp. 46.
252. Mario Fomi, Lucrezia Reichlin [1998] "Federal Policies and Local Economies: Europe and the US" pp. 24.
253. Luigi Brighi [1998] "A Case of Optimal Regulation with Multidimensional Private Information" pp 20.
254. Barbara Pistoresi, Stefania Luppi [1998] "Gli investimenti diretti esteri nell'America Latina e nel Sud Est Asiatico: 1982-1995" pp 27.
255. Paola Mengoli, Margherita Russo [1998] "Technical and Vocational Education and Training in Italy: Structure and Changes at National and Regional Level" pp 25.
256. Tindara Addabbo [1998] "On-the-Job Search a Microeconomic Analysis on Italian Data" pp. 29.
257. Lorenzo Bertucelli [1999] "Il paternalismo industriale: una discussione storiografica" pp.21.
258. Mario Fomi e Marco Lippi [1999] "The generalized dynamic factor model: representation theory" pp. 25.
259. Andrea Ginzburg e Annamaria Simonazzi [1999] "Foreign debt cycles and the 'Gibson Paradox': an interpretative hypothesis" pp. 38.
260. Paolo Bosi [1999] "La riforma della spesa per assistenza dalla Commissione Onofri ad oggi: una valutazione in corso d'opera" pp. 56.
261. Marcello D'Amato e Barbara Pistoresi [1999] "Go and soothe the row. Delegation of monetary policy under private information" pp. 23.
262. Michele Lalla [1999] "Sampling, Maintenance, and Weighting Schemes for Longitudinal Surveys: a Case Study of the Textile and Clothing Industry" pp. 27.
263. Pederzoli Chiara e Torricelli Costanza [1999] "Una rassegna sui metodi di stima del Value at Risk (Var)".
264. Paolo Bosi, Maria Cecilia Guerra e Paolo Silvestri [1999] "La spesa sociale di Modena. La valutazione della condizione economica" pp 74.
265. Graziella Bertocchi e Michael Spagat [1999] "The Politics Co-optation" pp 14.
266. Giovanni Bonifati [1999] "The Capacity to Generate Investment. An analysis of the long-term determinants of investment" pp.22.
267. Tindara Addabbo e Antonella Caiumi [1999] "Extended Income and Inequality by Gender in Italy" pp. 40.
268. Antonella Caiumi e Federico Perali [1999] "Children and Intrahousehold Distribution of Resources: An Estimate of the Sharing Rule of Italian Households" pp.24
269. Vincenzo Atella, Antonella Caiumi e Federico Perali [1999] "Una scala di equivalenza non vale l'altra" pp.23.

- 270 Tito Pietra e Paolo Siconolfi [1999] "Volume of Trade and Revelation of Information" pp. 33.
- 271 Antonella Picchio [1999] "La questione del lavoro non pagato nella produzione di servizi nel nucleo domestico (Household)" pp.58.
- 272 Margherita Russo [1999] "Complementary Innovations and Generative Relationships in a Small Business Production System: the Case of Kervit" pp.27.
- 273 André Dumas [1999] "L'Economie de la drouge" pp. 12.
- 274 André Dumas [1999] "L'Euro à l'heure actuelle" pp. 12.
- 275 Michele Lalla Gisella Facchinetti [1999] "La valutazione dell'attività didattica: un confronto tra scale di misura e insiemi sfocati" pp.32.
- 276 Mario Biagioli [1999] "Formazione e valorizzazione del capitale umano: un'indagine sui paesi dell'Unione Europea" pp.21.
- 277 Mario Biagioli [1999] "Disoccupazione, formazione del capitale umano e determinazione dei salari individuali: un'indagine su microdati nei paesi dell'Unione Europea" pp.15.
- 278 Gian Paolo Caselli Giulia Bruni [1999] Il settore petrolifero russo, il petrolio del Mar Caspio e gli interessi geopolitici nell'area" pp. 28.
- 279 Luca Gambetti [1999] "The Real Effect of Monetary Policy: a New Var Identification Procedure" pp.22.
- 280 Marcello D'Amato Barbara Pistoiesi [1999] "Assessing Potential Targets for Labour Market Reforms in Italy" pp. 8.
- 281 Gian Paolo Caselli Giulia Bruni e Francesco Pattarin [1999] "Gaddy and Ickes Model of Russian Barter Economy: Some Criticisms and Considerations" pp.10.
- 282 Silvia Muzzioli Costanza Torricelli [1999] "A Model for Pricing an Option with a Fuzzy Payoff" pp. 13.
- 283 Antonella Caiumi Federico Perati [1999] "Povertà e Welfare in Italia in Relazione alla Scelta della Scala di Equivalenza" pp.25.
- 284 Marcello Galli Tommaso Minerva [1999] "Algoritmi Genetici per l'Evoluzione di Modelli Lineari *Metodologia ad Applicazioni*" pp.36.
- 285 Mario Forni Sergio Paba [1999] "Knowledge Spillovers and the Growth of Local Industries" pp. 29.
- 286 Gisella Facchinetti Giovanni Mastroleo [1999] "Un confronto tra uno score card ed un approccio fuzzy per la concessione del credito personale" pp.27.
- 287 Gisella Facchinetti Giovanni Mastroleo e Sergio Paba [1999] "A Statistical and Fuzzy Algorithm for the Identification of Industrial Districts" pp.6.
- 288 Tommaso Minerva [1999] "Didattica e Informatica. *Una indagine Statistica relativa alla Provincia di Modena sul rapporto tra Insegnanti e Nuove Tecnologie*" pp. 46.
- 289 Andrea Ginzburg [1999] "Sraffa e l'analisi sociale: alcune note metodologiche" pp. 37.
- 290 Consolato Pellegrino Carla Fiori [1999] "Piani Formalmente Euclideri" pp. 11.
- 291 Nicolina A. Malara, Maria Teresa Brandoli e Carla Fiori [1999] "Comportamenti di Studenti in Ingresso all'Università di Fronte allo Studio di Disequazioni" pp. 15.
- 292 Consolato Pellegrino Maria Teresa Brandoli [1999] "Il Principio D'Induzione Euristica-Mente Parlando" pp. 11.
- 293 Paolo Bertella Farnetti [1999] "Winston Churchill e l'unità europea" pp. 25.
- 294 Tindara Addabbo Massimo Baldini [1999] "Safety net and poverty dynamics in Italy in the early nineties" pp. 23.
- 295 Margherita Russo [2000] "Innovation Dynamics and Industrial Dynamics in a Local Production System. Changes in the Agents/Artifacts Space in Tile Decoration: from Silk Screen to Laser Engraved Silicon Cylinder" pp.45.
- 296 Gianluca Masci e Margherita Russo [2000] "L'attività brevettale nel distretto ceramico, 1971-1998" pp.40.
- 297 Paola Mengoli e Margherita Russo [2000] "Competenze, innovazione e sviluppo locale" pp.31.
- 298 Gian Paolo Caselli e Tommaso Minerva [2000] "The Transitorio Process in Russia and China and the Ising Model" pp.30.
- 299 Gisella Facchinetti, Giovanni Mastroleo e Sergio Paba [2000] "A Fuzzy Approach to the Empirical Identification of Industrial Districts" pp.7.
- 300 Tommaso Minerva, Irene Poli e Sebastiano Brusco [2000] "A Cellular Automaton as a Model to Study the Dynamics of an Industrial District" pp.30.
- 301 Gisella Facchinetti [2000] "Il problema della misurazione del rischio di credito: una rassegna critica di metodologie" pp.13.
- 302 Marco Mazzoli [2000] "Investments and Financial Structure with Imperfect Financial Markets: an Intertemporal Discrete-Time Framework" pp.13.
- 303 Giuseppe Marotta [2000] "Il credito commerciale in Italia: evidenza su dati d'impresa" pp.29.